

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3699-3704/2010

FUL CHAND MAHATO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAJU MAHATO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,cancellation of
bail,c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

Date: 26/09/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Irshad Ahmad,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya,Adv.

Mr. Mohit Kumar Shah ,Adv

Mr. Rajnish Prasad ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

A perusal of the file would show that with respect to service on respondent No.14, affidavit has been filed stating that respondent no.14 has been served and proof of service is filed with the affidavit. A copy of the notice is filed as proof of dasti service wherein it appears to have been written that the particular respondent was dead and the notice was served on his son. Thus, the said dasti service cannot be accepted as valid service upon respondent No.14. The ld. Counsel for the petitioner is granted four weeks' time to cure the defect by taking appropriate steps.

As last chance, four weeks' time is granted to the ld. Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3,5 to 13 and 15 to file counter affidavit.

List again on 2.12.2011.

(H.B. PRABHAKARA SASTRY)

REGISTRAR

hj