

ITEM NO.1 COURT NO.4 SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2779/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30/11/2011 in WP No. 58/2011 passed by the High Court Of Uttarakhand At Nainital)

VINOD GIRI GOSWAMI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS. Respondent(s)
(with appln. (s) for grant of permission to delete the name of respondent and permission to file additional documents and seeking permission to raise additional grounds)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 6847-6848/2012(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 9885-9886/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 9910-9911/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33750-33751/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33759-33760/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33762-33763/2012(With Office Report)

Date : 11/03/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. H.S. Hooda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Raghwendra Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

In SLP 6847-48/12 Mr. R. Venkata Ramani, Sr. Adv.
Signature Not Verified

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.

Digitally signed by

Mr. Amit Upreti, Adv.

Parveen Kumar Chawla

Date: 2015.03.12

14:30:33 IST

Reason:

In SLP 2779/2012 Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Amit Upreti, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR(NP)

sc2779/2012

2

For petitioner(s) Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.
In SLP 33750-51,
33759-60 and
33762-33763/2012

For Respondent(s) Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raj Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Deepa Rai, Adv.

Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, Adv.

Ms. Mridula Ray Bhardwaj, AOR(NP)

Mr. P. K. Jain, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Jain, Adv.

Mr. P.K. Goswami, Adv.

Mr. S.P. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

During the course of hearing, we made a suggestion to the learned counsel for the rival parties, that it may be appropriate to determine the deficiencies in the direct recruits/promotees quota, at the time of the creation of the State of Uttranchal (now Uttarakhand), so as to determine the number of direct recruits/promotees, who could have been accommodated against the vacancies, which arose in the cadre of Deputy Collectors, from year to year.

Learned counsel for the rival parties agreed to the suggestion made by this Court, and suggested, that the matter may be remitted to the State Government for determining the deficiencies in the direct recruits/promotees quota, from year to year.

The State of Uttarakhand has already filed an affidavit sc2779/2012 before this Court depicting the quota position for direct recruits and promotees. It may choose to re-examine the matter, and record an order indicating their exact quota position, from year to year, which shall be made available to the rival parties within one week from today (by 18.3.2015). In case, either of the parties have any objection to the determination of the quota at the hands of the State Government, it shall be open to them to raise their objections within one week thereafter (by 25.3.2015). Both parties shall be afforded an opportunity of hearing, and a final decision shall be rendered by the State Government after hearing the objections. The State Government shall record a firm finding, on the subject of quota posts occupied by the direct recruits/promotees from year to year,

within a further period of three weeks (by 15.4.2015).

The aforesaid order shall be placed before this Court
for its consideration on or before 22.4.2015.

List again on 29.4.2015.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master

ø+ sc2779/2012

1

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.4

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2779/2012

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated
30/11/2011 in WP No. 58/2011 passed by the High Court Of
Uttarakhand At Nainital)

VINOD GIRI GOSWAMI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for grant of permission to delete the name of
respondent and permission to file additional documents and
seeking permission to raise additional grounds)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 6847-6848/2012(With Interim Relief and Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 9885-9886/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 9910-9911/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33750-33751/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33759-33760/2012(With Office Report)
SLP(C) No. 33762-33763/2012(With Office Report)

Date : 11/03/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. H.S. Hooda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Raghwendra Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

In SLP 6847-48/12
Signature Not Verified

Mr. R. Venkata Ramani, Sr. Adv.

Digitally signed by

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.

Parveen Kumar Chawla

Date: 2015.03.12

14:30:33 IST

Reason:

Mr. Amit Upreti, Adv.

In SLP 2779/2012

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Amit Upreti, Adv.

For petitioner(s) Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.
In SLP 33750-51,
33759-60 and
33762-33763/2012

For Respondent(s) Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Raj Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Deepa Rai, Adv.
Mr. Prem Sunder Jha, Adv.

Ms. Mridula Ray Bhardwaj, AOR(NP)

Mr. P. K. Jain, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Jain, Adv.
Mr. P.K. Goswami, Adv.
Mr. S.P. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

During the course of hearing, we made a suggestion to the learned counsel for the rival parties, that it may be appropriate to determine the deficiencies in the direct recruits/promotees quota, at the time of the creation of the State of Uttranchal (now Uttarakhand), so as to determine the number of direct recruits/promotees, who could have been accommodated against the vacancies, which arose in the cadre of Deputy Collectors, from year to year.

Learned counsel for the rival parties agreed to the suggestion made by this Court, and suggested, that the matter may be remitted to the State Government for determining the deficiencies in the direct recruits/promotees quota, from year to year.

The State of Uttarakhand has already filed an affidavit before this Court depicting the quota position for direct recruits and promotees. It may choose to re-examine the matter, and record an order indicating their exact quota position, from year to year, which shall be made available to the rival parties within one week from today (by 18.3.2015). In case, either of the parties have any objection to the determination of the quota at the hands of the State Government, it shall be open to them

to raise their objections within one week thereafter (by 25.3.2015). Both parties shall be afforded an opportunity of hearing, and a final decision shall be rendered by the State Government after hearing the objections. The State Government shall record a firm finding, on the subject of quota posts occupied by the direct recruits/promotees from year to year, within a further period of three weeks (by 15.4.2015).

The aforesaid order shall be placed before this Court for its consideration on or before 22.4.2015.

List again on 29.4.2015.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master