

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) Nos.655-656 OF 2012
IN
W.P.(C) No.423 OF 2010 & W.P.(C) No.10 of 2011

M/S. S.TEL LTD.

..PETITIONER(S)

Versus

CENTRE FOR PUBLIC INTEREST LITGN. & ORS.

..RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. The application made by the review petitioner for permission to address oral arguments is rejected because the judgment of which review has been sought was decided after threadbare consideration of all the points raised during the course of hearing.

2. We have perused the memo of review petitions along with the record of the case and are convinced that the judgment of which review has been sought does not suffer from any error apparent warranting its reconsideration. The review petitioner's prayer for setting aside the directions contained in para 81(i) and (v) is thoroughly misconceived and cannot be entertained.

3. The review petitions are accordingly dismissed.

4. As a sequel to this, the application filed for stay of judgment dated 02.02.2012 is rejected.

.....J.
(G.S. SINGHVI)

.....J.
(K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN)

NEW DELHI;
APRIL 3, 2012.

CHAMBER MATTER

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 655-656 OF 2012 IN W.P(C) 423/2010 AND &
W.P.(C) No.10 of 2011

M/S. S.TEL LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CENTRE FOR PUBLIC INTEREST LITGN. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for oral hearing, stay and office report)

Date: 03/04/2012 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed
order.

As a sequel to this, the application filed for stay of
judgment dated 02.02.2012 is rejected.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)