\220
SLP(C)No. 13048 OF 2001
ITEM No.27 Court No. 6 SECTION IVB
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E   C O U R T   O F   I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.13048/2001
(From the judgement and order dated 28/09/2000 in LPA 1331/00
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

UNION OF INDIA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

GURBUX SINGH & ANR. Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for stay and with prayer for interim relief)

Date : 15/04/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Anoop G. Chaudhary, Sr.Adv.
Mr. PK. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

For Respondent (s) M/s GG. Ssingh, GP. Singh, Advs.
Mr. Debasis Misra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

........L.......I.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....J
.SP2
On October 8, 2001, this court passed the following interim order:
.SP1
................L.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......J....R
"Recovery of the amount which the difference between Award passed by the Reference Court and the High Court is stayed until further orders. Learned counsel seeks four weeks time for filing counter affidavit.........."

.SP2
........L.......I.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....J
The learned senior counsel argues that inasmuch as the Reference Court has awarded exhorbitant compensation at the rate of Rs.17/- per square yard, the Award passed by the Reference Court itself be stayed. For that purpose, they filed I.A.No.2 of 2001. In our view, the order quoted above will safeguard the interest of the petitioner. We are, therefore, not inclined to stay the Award passed by the Reference Court. I.A.No.2 is dismissed.
.SP1

(S.Thapar) (Kanwal Singh)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
PS to Registrar Court Master@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAA