

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 946 OF 2003

JASWINDER SINGH & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 22/07/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Gurvinder Singh Dhillon, Adv.
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is disposed of.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 946 OF 2003

JASWINDER SINGH & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned

judgment of the High Court of Punjab & Haryana dated
13.12.2002.

The facts of the case have already been set out in the
impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating them here.

We have been informed that appellant No. 2 herein-
Partap Singh had died. Hence, Appeal qua appellant No. 2
abates.

On the facts and circumstances of the case and to do
substantial justice between the parties, we are of the
opinion that ends of justice will be sub-served if, while
maintaining the conviction of the appellants Nos. 1 and 3
herein Jaswinder Singh and Mohinder Singh respectively, we
alter the sentence to the period already undergone by the
appellants. We order accordingly. We have been informed
that fine has already been paid.

The impugned judgment of the High Court is modified to
that extent stated above.

The Appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

NEW DELHI
JULY 22, 2010.