

ITEM NO.208

COURT NO.7

SECTION XVII

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10461-10462/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 16/12/2004 in MP No. 105/2004 & MP No. 253/2004 in FA No. 858/2003 of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

M/S. HEDE CONSULTANCY CO. AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. HEVEN DEVELOPERS AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 22416-22417 of 2005

M/s. Haven Developers Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. Vs. Patrick Gonsalves & Ors.

(with appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

Date: 24/03/2006 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.

Mrs. Nandini Gore, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Respondent-In-Person.

Mr. Gopal Jain, Adv.

Mrs. Nandini Gore, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NOS.10461-10462/2005:

We have heard learned counsel for the parties. We are informed by counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that the Special Leave Petitions were preferred against an interim order passed by the National Commission, but in the meantime, the

2

National Commission has passed a final decision. The petitioners wish to challenge that decision.

In view of the fact that the Special Leave Petitions were preferred against the interim order and since then a final decision has been rendered by the National Commission, these petitions have become infructuous and are, therefore, dismissed as such.

The petitioners wish to file Special Leave Petitions against the final judgment of the National Commission, which they may do in accordance with law.

SLP(C) NOS.22416-22417/2005:

These Special Leave Petitions were also preferred against the interim order

passed by the National Commission. Since the matter is finally decided on 6.2.2006, the

Special Leave Petitions have become infructuous and are dismissed as such. It is open

to the parties to seek their remedy in accordance with law.

( P.D. BALODI )

A.R.-cum-P.S.

( VIJAY DHAWAN )

Court Master