

\222
SLP(C)No. 8372 OF 2001
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
.PL58 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal No. 7977 of 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of SLP(C) No. 8372 of 2001)

Sudhanshu Kumar Roychoudhury ...Appellant (s)

Versus

State of Mizoram & Ors. ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R~@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

In view of the nature of allegations made in this appeal, we had requested the learned Solicitor General to examine the allegations with reference to the documents, if any, and indict whether there is an iota of truth in these allegations or not. He stated that this is a matter which the High Court should have examined, and in that view of the matter the impugned order dismissing the petition in limine is set aside. The matter is remitted back to the High Court for reconsideration. If the appellant is unable to appear before the High Court or the High Court is not satisfied about the locus standi of the appellant, then it may dispose of the matter by engaging an Amicus Curiae since it involves the interest of the State.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(G.B. PATTANAIAK)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi;

.....J@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
(N. SANTOSH HEGDE)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

November 23, 2001.

.PA
.PL65
ITEM No.34 Court No. 3 SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.8372/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 07/02/2001 in WP 34/2000 of The HIGH COURT OF GAUHATI)

SUDHANSHU KUMAR ROYCHOUDHURY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MIZORAM & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 23/11/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAİK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. Prakash Shrivastava, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mr. H.N. Salve, SG
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Anu Sawhney, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.
Appeal disposed of in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)