

IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2041/2005

(From the judgement and order dated 30/03/2005 in CRLA No. 726/2005  
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT NAGPUR)

PURUSHOTTAM & ANR.

Petitioner

(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent

(s)

(With appln(s) for bail,stay and office report )

Date: 09/05/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.P. SINGH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.

Mr. L.Nageshwar Rao, Sr.Adv.

Mr. V.Sheshagiri, Adv.

Mr. Padhavanabhan, Adv.

Mrs. Shiraz Contractor Patodia, Adv.

For Complainant

Mr. M.L.Lahoty, Adv.

Mr. Paban K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

Miss Poli Kataki, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. U.U.Lalit, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.S.Shinde, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Special Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR)

(VIJAY DHAWAN)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed Order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.681 of 2005

(Arising out of SLP(Cr1.)NO.2041/2005)

PURUSHOTTAM & ANR.

.....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

We have heard counsel for the parties at length and also perused the order of the High Court refusing bail to the petitioners who happen to be brothers of the husband of the deceased.

Special Leave granted.

From the facts of the case, it appears that the deceased was, at the relevant time, residing with her parents at Nagpur, whereas the appellants herein were residing at Hyderabad. On the basis of documents on which the prosecution relies, it is made out to be a case of suicide committed by the deceased on account of harassment by the family members of her husband as well

as by the husband himself. Having considered all aspects

-2-

of the matter, we are satisfied that so far as these Appellants are concerned, in the facts and circumstances of the case, they deserve to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

We order accordingly.

This Appeal is accordingly allowed.

.....J.  
(B.P.SINGH)

.....J.  
(ARUN KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;  
MAY 9, 2005