

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.942 OF 2005

NUR HASAN ANSARI & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR

Respondent(s)

(With application for permission to place additional documents on record,
exemption from filing official translation and office report)

Date: 28/11/2006 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ranjan Dwivedi,Adv.

Mr. Firoze Ahmad,Adv.

Mr. R.S. Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Maithili Sharan,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mr. Nishakant Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Anukul Raj,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal is dismissed. Bail bonds of Appellant Nos.2 and 3 (Najiran Khatton and Johra Khaton respectively) are cancelled and they are directed to be taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining period of sentence.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.942 OF 2005

Nur Hasan Ansari and Ors.

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Bihar

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Three appellants, along with accused - Abul Hassan

Anasari were convicted under Section 304B/34 of the Indian Penal

Code [for short, 'the I.P.C.'] and sentenced to undergo rigorous

imprisonment for a period of seven years. They were further

convicted under Section 201 I.P.C. and sentenced to undergo

rigorous imprisonment for a period of three years. The accused

persons were also convicted under Section 498A I.P.C. and

Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, but no separate

sentence was awarded on these counts. The sentences awarded

against the appellants were directed to run concurrently. On

appeals being preferred, the High Court confirmed convictions of

the appellants and accused - Abul Hasan Anasari whose

conviction attained finality as he did not move this Court. The

present appeal by special leave has been filed by these three

appellants.

....2/-

- 2 -

Having heard the parties and perused the evidence, we

are of the view that the trial court as well as the High Court have

recorded conviction of the accused persons upon detailed

discussion of evidence and no interference by this Court is called

for.

The appeal, accordingly, fails and the same is dismissed.

Bail bonds of Appellant Nos.2 and 3 (Najiran Khatoon and Johra

Khatoon respectively) are cancelled and they are directed to be

taken into custody forthwith to serve out the remaining period of

sentence.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

New Delhi,

November 28, 2006.