

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).8706/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 28/02/2012 in LPA No.42/2012,WP No.1404/2012 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

SADASHIVRAO MAND.K.T.S.S.KARKHANA LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RANAJIT PRABHAKAR BANNE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 8728 of 2012

[(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment,exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and office report )]

Date: 26/03/2012        These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)        Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr. Amit B.Borkar, Adv.  
                                 Mr.Gaurav Agrawal,AOR.

In SLP(C)8728/12        Mr. Shekhar Naphade, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.  
                                 Mr. Looish Shah, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Dev Dutt Kamat, Adv.  
                                 Mr.Gaurav Agrawal,AOR.  
                                 Mr. Shankar Narayanan, Adv.

In SLP(C)8706/12        Mr. Devdatt Kamat, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Prejanka Telang, Adv.  
                                 Mr. Rajesh Inamdar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)        Mr.    Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
R1&2                            Mr.    Shrinivas Patwardhan, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Raturaj Pawar, Adv.  
                                 Mr.    Shivaji M. Jadhav,AOR.

Mr.  
Brij Kishor Sah, Adv.

Mr.  
Jayant Bhatt, Adv.

Mr.  
Sarvpreet Singh, Adv.

Mr.  
S.K. Jain, Adv.

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In our view, SLP(C)No.8706 of 2012, is not maintainable at the instance of the KARKHANA. The same is, accordingly, dismissed. As far as SLP(C)No.8728 of 2012, is concerned, let notice issue.

Since the respondent Nos.1 and 2 are represented on caveat, service on the said

respondents is dispensed with. Mr. Lalit,  
learned senior advocate, has appeared for the  
respondent No.5 as the petitioner in the other  
matter and he states that notice will also be  
accepted on behalf of the respondent No.5 herein  
by the learned advocate-on-record, Mr. Prashant  
Kumar. Service of notice on the said  
respondent No.5 is also dispensed with. As far  
as the respondent Nos.3 and 4 are concerned, let  
notice be served, both in the usual course and  
also by way of dasti service, through the  
learned Standing Counsel for the State of  
Maharashtra, by Wednesday.

The respondents will be entitled to file  
their respective counter affidavits to the  
Special Leave Petition within two weeks;  
rejoinder, thereto, if any, may be filed within  
a week thereafter.

List the matter immediately after three  
weeks (16th April, 2012).

In the meantime, the process initiated  
for holding the elections on 17th June, 2012, may  
continue, subject to further orders.

(Sheetal Dhingra)  
COURT MASTER

(Juginder Kaur)  
Assistant Registrar