

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 2 & 3/2007 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3060 OF 2006

RUKMINI (D) BY LRS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

A.V. VENKATAMMA (D) BY LRS.

Respondent(s)

(For restoration and c/delay in filing restoration application and office report)

Date: 26/11/2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Appellant(s)

Mr.A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)/
Applicants

Mr.S.B.Sanyal, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. Kiran Suri, Adv.

Mr.S.J. Amith, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

I.A.No.2 purported to be an application for restoration/direction is in fact and substance an application for review. The same is dismissed as not maintainable. The applicants, however, will be at liberty to pursue the matter which is pending before the High Court. We would request the High Court to consider the desirability of disposing of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

[Meenu Sethi] [Pushap Lata Bhardwaj]
Court Master

Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
I.A.No. 2 & 3
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.3060 /2006

Rukmini(D)

by
Lrs. ...Appellants

Versus

A.V.Venkatamma(D)by Lrs. ...Respondents/
Applicants

ORDER

I.A.No.2 purported to be an application for restoration/direction is in fact and substance an application for review. The same is dismissed as not maintainable. The applicants, however, will be at liberty to pursue the matter which is pending before the High Court. We would request the High Court to

consider the desirability of disposing of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

.....J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....J.

[H.S. BEDI]

New Delhi,
November 26, 2007