

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012

(CC 6721-6722/2012)

(From the judgement and order dated 05/04/2011 in CWP No.9085/2009 and order dated 23/09/2011 in RA No.370/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAJ SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1-2 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 02/01/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.R.Venkataramani, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra Garg, Adv.
Mrs.Manju Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners invited our attention to the averments contained in para 2 of the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents before the High Court and submitted that in view of the admission contained in that paragraph that old residential house measuring 350 sq.yards, 450 sq.yards and 400 sq.yards with five shops and tin sheds were in existence in different rectangles of the property belonging to the petitioners, the High Court was not justified in dismissing the writ petition.

Delay condoned.

Issue notice on the special leave petitions as also on the petitioners' prayer for interim relief, returnable on 05.03.2013. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

In the meanwhile, status quo regarding possession of the disputed property, shall be maintained by both the parties. This would necessarily mean that neither party shall alter the present character of the property or alienate the same to any other person in any manner whatsoever.

It shall be the petitioners' duty to serve the respondents before the next date of hearing failing which the interim order passed today shall stand automatically vacated.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master