

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3841-3842 OF 2013**

WITH

**CONTEMPT PETITION 384-385 OF 2004**

WITH

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 31-32 AND 33-34**

(Application for early hearing)

AND

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 35-36**

(Application for direction)

Ten Sports etc. ....Appellant

Versus

Citizen Consumer & Civic Action  
Group & Ors. ....Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The applications for early hearing (Interlocutory Application No. 31-32) in the matters above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 8th April, 2013 when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Leave granted.**

**Let the appeals along with I.A. Nos.  
31-32 of 2013, for early hearing, be placed  
before the Bench taking old appeals.**

**Liberty to mention."**

It is further submitted that there are six respondents. Respondent No. 1 in Civil Appeal No. 3841 of 2013 is represented by Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 2 in both the matters is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 in both matters are represented by Mrs. Hemantika Wahi and Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Advocates respectively. common Respondent No. 3 in both the matters and Respondent No. 1 in Civil Appeal No. 3842 of 2013 stand served by the Registry at SLP stage but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned back to the High Court in terms of circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is also submitted that M/s P.H Parekh & Co., Advocates have on 17th March, 2004 filed contempt petition against respondent no. 2 for willful disobedience of Hon'ble Court's order dated 15th March, 2004 and the same is placed with appeal paper books.

It is lastly submitted that pursuant to the Hon'ble Court's order dated 17th March, 2004 an amount of Rupees Ten Crores and Forty Crores are invested in fixed deposits and the same are got renewed from time to time.

Thereafter the applications for early hearing (Interlocutory Application No. 33-34) in the matters above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 7th October, 2016 when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Heard.  
Objections, if any, to these  
applications may be filed by counsel  
opposite within four weeks from today.  
Post the applications along with the  
appeals in the third week of November 2016."**

It is also submitted that Counsel for the Appellant has also filed an application for directions and the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 35-36 of 2016.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rajiv Sharma , Counsel for respondent has on only 9th January, 2017 filed reply to the application for early hearing (Interlocutory Application Nos. 33-34) i.e with the delay of 66 days but no application for condonation of delay has been filed. However the same is being circulated herewith.

The matters alongwith contempt petition and applications are listed before Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: 1. Mr. P. H. Parekh, Advocate  
2. Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Advocate  
3. Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate  
4. Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, Advocate  
5. Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Advocate  
6. Mr. Rajan Narain, Advocate  
7. Mr. Praveen Swarup, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac





COPY TO:

M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co., Advocate

Mrs. Revathy Raghvan, Advocate

Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate

Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

hp

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION Nos. 13-14  
(Applications for early hearing)  
IN  
CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 2129-2130 of 2012

Dorairaj ...Appellant  
versus  
Diraisamy & Ors. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT**

These are the appeals by special leave filed against the Judgment and Order dated 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2009 of the High Court of Judicature at Madras in S.A. Nos. 1561 & 1562 of 1995.

It is submitted that there are twelve respondents and Respondent No. 1 is represented through Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are represented through Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 6 to 12 are represented through Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Advocate.

Service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that Mrs. Revathy Raghvan, counsel for respondent No. 1 has on 8.7.2013 filed an application for early hearing for registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 13-14. (Copies of the same placed with appeal paper books.)

Original record has been received from the High Court in this Registry and the same is available for the reference of the Hon'ble Court.

**It is lastly submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of cases till date.**

The applications in the matter above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2013

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:  
M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co., Advocate  
Mrs. Revathy Raghvan, Advocate  
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate  
Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

hp