

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.524 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BALRAM DAS & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 20/02/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Appellant (s) Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.
for Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Syed Ali Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Syed Tanweer Ahmad, Adv.
Mr. Girdhar G.Upadhyay, Adv.
for Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R
.SP2

The learned counsel appearing for the appellat
argued for about 10 minutes.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(A.S. Bisht)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

..L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.524 OF 2000@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

STATE OF HARYANA

APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

BALRAM DASS & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

..L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J...R

.SP2

Having read the records and heard the learned counsel we are satisfied that there is no infirmity in the reasoning or the conclusions of the Designated Court. Hence, this appeal is dismissed.

.SP1

.....J~
(S. RAJENDRA BABU)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J
(G.P. MATHUR)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi;
February 20, 2003.