

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC No(s). 2028/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03/08/2016
in WPN No. 6750/2016 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

YU TELEVENTURES PVT LTD

Respondent(s)

I.A. 1/2017(with c/delay in filing SLP)

Date : 06/02/2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG
Ms. Nisha Bagchi, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P. Chidambaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tarun Gulati, Adv.
Mr. Sparsh Bhargava, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Mathews, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Sachdeva, Adv.
Mr. Kishore Kunal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Since the question of law involved in this matter is
being examined by this Court in another pending matter
before this Court, we deem it appropriate to issue notice in

the matter.

However, we do not see any reason to grant any interim relief in favour of the petitioner.

Apart from that, by the judgment under appeal, the High Court directed an action to be taken against the Officer of the Union of India for the said observations made in the proceedings before the Officer.

Learned Additional Solicitor General seeks stay of the operation of the directions. However, we are not inclined at this stage to stay the operation of the directions, however, we call upon learned Additional Solicitor General to place before this Court as to what action Union of India is proposed to take against the Officer in the context of the matter.

Tag with SLP(C) no. 26530 of 2016.

List after a week.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
AR-cum-PS

(RAJINDER KAUR)
Court Master