

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F      I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1010 OF 2010

NAUNIHAL SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

WITH APPEAL(CRL) NO. 1000 of 2010

(With office report)

Date: 28/07/2010      This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Vikram Chaudhari, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.for

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Tarun Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sandhu, Adv.for

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh,Adv.

Mr. Sunny Chaudhary, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Dalela, Adv.

Ms. Jenn Merlyn, Adv.

Mr. Kuldip Singh ,Adv

Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal ,Adv(NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Appeals are dismissed.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)

Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]

( Indu Satiya )

Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1010 OF 2010

Naunihal Singh

..Appellant

versus

State of Punjab &amp; Others

..Respondents

WITH  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1000 OF 2010

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and  
having perused the record, we find no infirmity in the  
impugned orders.

The Criminal Appeals are dismissed accordingly.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU}

NEW DELHI;  
JULY 28, 2010

.....J.  
[T.S. THAKUR]