

C.A.No. 142 OF 2001  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
ITEM NO.121 COURT NO. 3 SECTION IV

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.142 OF 2001@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

United India Insurance Co. Ltd. ... Appellant (s)

Vs.

Shyam Singh & Ors. ... Respondent (s)

( With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 24/10/2002 This/These matter(s) was/were called  
on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

For appellant (s) Mr. BK Satija,Adv.

For respondent (s) Mr. K.R. Nagaraja,Adv.(NP)

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi,Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.  
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the appellant for ten  
minutes.

The appeal is dismissed. No order as to costs.

.SP1

(Neelam Kawatra)  
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)  
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.142 OF 2001@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

United Indis Insurance Co. Ltd. Appellant (s)

versus

Shyam Singh & Ors. Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

On 21.10.1996, one Zile Singh died in a bus accident. The dependents of the deceased claimed compensation by filing a Claim Petition before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal. The Tribunal awarded a sum of Rs. 1 lakh towards the compensation. Aggrieved the Insurance Company preferred an appeal before the High Court. The said appeal was dismissed. It is against the said order and judgment, the appellant has preferred this appeal before us.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant argued that since the driver of the vehicle had no passenger bus driving licence, therefore, the Insurance Company was not liable to pay the compensation. We do not find any substance in the argument, The Tribunal as well as the High Court have found that the driver of the vehicle had Light and Heavy

-2-

Motor Vehicle driving licence. We, accordingly, do not find any merit in this appeal. The appeal fails and is, accordingly, dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

.SP1  
.....J  
(V.N. Khare)

.....J  
(B.N. Agrawal)

New Delhi,  
October 24, 2002. ....J  
(Ashok Bhan)