

(
C.A.No. 2552 OF 2001
ITEM No.106

COURT NO. 9

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2552 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

JAGAN M. SESHADRI ...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS
(With office report)

Date : 05/03/2003 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant (s) Mr. R. Anand Padmanabhan, Adv.
Ms. Amritha Sarayoo, Adv.
Mr. Pramod Dayal, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. M.R. Shah, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

The appeal is dismissed as having become
infructuous.

.SP1
Sarita (Shelly Sengupta)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA
.PL56

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2552 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

JAGAN M. SESHADRI

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the appeal does not survive for consideration, in other words, it has become infructuous. The appeal is dismissed as such.

.SP1

.....J.
(Shivaraj V. Patil)

New Delhi,
March 5, 2003.

.....J.
(Arijit Pasayat)