

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).2052/2013

(From the judgement and order dated 06/02/2013 in ABA No.20/2013, of The  
HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

DILIP JAGANNATH AMBILWADE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA Respondent(s)  
(With appln(s) for anticipatory bail and office report )

Date: 23/08/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv.  
for Ms. Mukti Chowdhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of signed order.

[ Neeta ]  
Sr. P.A.

[ Sneh Bala Mehra ]  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1246 OF 2013  
(@ SLP (CRL.)NO. 2052 OF 2013)

DILIP JAGANNATH AMBILWADE .....Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA .....Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

As anticipatory bail Application No. 20 filed by the  
appellant has been rejected by the High Court, the appellant has

approached this Court.

Appellant was working as Chief Administrative Officer, Public Health Department at Civil Hospital, Nashik. An F.I.R. was filed in relation to misappropriation of the Government funds and it was alleged that the appellant was also involved in the said offence but name of the appellant does not figure in the charge-sheet filed. In spite of the said fact, anticipatory bail was refused as it was submitted before the High Court that presence of the appellant was required for interrogation.

This Court, on 8th March, 2013 had directed that the appellant shall not be arrested in connection with FIR bearing C.R. No. 513/10, registered with Sarkarwara Police Station, Nasik, Maharashtra.

It has been submitted before this Court that till today the appellant is not even interrogated by the Investigating Officer.

-2-

Looking to the facts of the case, in the event of arrest of the appellant, he shall be enlarged on bail on the following conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure:

(i) The appellant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

(ii) The appellant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(iii) The appellant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

Appeal is disposed of as allowed.

.....J.  
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI  
23RD AUGUST, 2013

.....J.  
(DIPAK MISRA)