

<
SLP(C)No. 8661 OF 2000

ITEM No.204

Court No. 3

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.8661/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 24/08/1999 in CMP 13239/99
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

ADDL. SPL. TAHSILDAR & LAND ACQU.OFFICER

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

C. KRISHNAN

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T.)
(with prayer for interim relief & Office Report)
(For Final Disposal)

Date : 02/02/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. P.R. Kovilen,Adv. for
Mr. V. Krishna Murthy,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. S. Nanda Kumar,Adv.
Mr. A. Janardhanam,Adv.
Mr. M. Kesavan,Adv.
Mr.P.K. Subramaniam,Adv.
Mr. L.K. Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.
.SP2

Leave granted.

The appeal is allowed. There shall be no order
as to costs.

.SP1

(Neelam Kawatra)
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO..... OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of S.L.P.(C)No.8661/2000)

Addl. Spl. Tahsildar & Land
Acqu. Officer

Appellant (s)

versus

C. Krishnan

Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

This appeal is directed against the judgment and order passed by the Madras High Court dismissing the appeal filed by the appellant on the ground of delay of 147 days. We have looked into the explanation given by the appellant herein for condonation of delay and are satisfied that the appellant has made out sufficient cause for condoning the delay. Under such circumstances, we set aside the judgment under appeal and send the case back to the High Court after condoning the delay for deciding the appeal on merits.

The appeal is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs.

.SP1

.....J
(V.N. Khare)

.....J.
(N. Santosh Hegde)

New Delhi,
February 02, 2001.

.....J
(Y.K. Sabharwal)