¢IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.2324 OF 2007
Ericsson India Telephone Corporation . ..Appellant
VS.
Deputy Director of Income Tax and Ors. ...Respondents

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the impugned judgment and order dated 16 th November, 2004 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi. We are not inclined to interfere in the said order except to observe that any findings given on merits of the matter shall not come in the way of the appellant-Corporation as the appeal against the assessment order is pending before the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal. The Tribunal shall decide the appeal in accordance with law without being influenced by any of the observations made by the High Court in the impugned judgment.

The appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

There shall be no order as to costs.

.....J.
[R.K. AGRAWAL]

.....J.
[MOHAN M.SHANTANAGOUDAR]

New Delhi;
April 5, 2017.
1

ITEM NO.104 COURT NO.9 SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 2324/2007

ERICSSON INDIA TELEPHONE CORPN. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF INCOME TAX & ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 05/04/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Balbir Singh,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Sachit Jolly,Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Singh,Adv.
Mr. Munindra Dvivedi,Adv.
Ms. B. Vijayalakshmi Menon,Adv.
Mr. Tushar Jarwal,Adv.
Ms. Rubal Maini,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.Radhakrishnan,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Arijit Prasad,Adv.
Ms. Niranjana Singh,Adv.
For Ms.Anil Katiyar,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(Anita Malhotra) (Chander Bala)
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)
2