

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA Nos. 1,2 & 3 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1524 OF 2007

FATEH SINGH (D) Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR. Respondent(s)

(IA No.1-appln(s) for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. of
Sole Appellant)
IA No.3-exemption from filing O.T. of Annexure)
IA No.2-appln. for c/delay in filing substitution appln. and office
report)

Date: 09/07/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. PRASAD

For Appellant(s) Mr. Sudhir Walia,Adv.
Mr. Rohen Singh,Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Atrey,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application has been filed for deleting the name of
the appellant and for substituting his heirs as mentioned
in the application. There is another application for
condoning the delay in filing the application for
substitution. The application for substitution is barred
by limitation of 814 days. In my opinion, no cause much
less sufficient cause has been shown for condoning the
delay in filing the substitution application.

Application for condoning the delay in filing the
substitution application is rejected.

Consequently, the application for substitution being
barred by limitation stands dismissed.

Consequently, the Civil Appeal abates and it is
dismissed accordingly.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[VINOD KULVI]
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.Nos. 1,2 and 3 In
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1524 OF 2007

FATEH SINGH (D) .. APPELLANT(S)

vs.

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR. .. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Application has been filed for deleting the name of the appellant and for substituting his heirs as mentioned in the application. There is another application for condoning the delay in filing the application for substitution. The application for substitution is barred by limitation of 814 days. In my opinion, no cause much less sufficient cause has been shown for condoning the delay in filing the substitution application.

Application for condoning the delay in filing the substitution application is rejected.

Consequently, the application for substitution being barred by limitation stands dismissed.

Consequently, the Civil Appeal abates and it is dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
(C.K. PRASAD)

New Delhi,
July 9, 2010.