



ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.7

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SPetition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.2322/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 12-01-2026 in CRLOP No. 934/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

SIVAKUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE REP. BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

Respondent(s)

Date : 11-03-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, AOR
Mr. Manoj Kumar A, Adv.
Mr. V.R. Appaswamee, Adv.
Ms. Meha Ashok Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR
Ms. Jahnavi Taneja, Adv.
Mr. Muthu Mayan R, Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. The petitioners before us have been denied anticipatory bail by the High Court in connection with the First Information Report/Crime No. 246 of 2025 registered with Anakkavoor Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu, dated 14.11.2025, for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 127(2), 351(2) and 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, the "BNS, 2023") respectively.

2. It appears from the materials on record that the deceased committed suicide. It has been alleged in the FIR lodged by the uncle of the deceased, that there was incessant harassment at the end of the accused persons for return of the money that the deceased had borrowed from the petitioners.

3. We heard Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, the learned counsel appearing for the State.

4. We take notice of the fact that the three accused persons i.e. Accused No.1 namely P. Prasanth, Accused No.2 namely M. Pichandi and Accused No.3 namely P.Rajesh respectively against whom there are allegations of harassment have already been enlarged on regular bail by the High Court.

5. The petitioners before us are all family members of the three accused persons, referred to above.

6. In the facts of this case, more particularly having regard to the nature of the allegations and the role attributed to them, we are persuaded to exercise our discretion in favour of the petitioners.

7. In view of the aforesaid, we order that in the event of arrest of the petitioners in connection with the offence enumerated above, they shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer, subject to the terms and conditions that he may deem fit to impose.

8. The petitioners shall extend full cooperation to the Investigating Officer during the course of the investigation.

9. With the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

10. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)