

R, 1

ITEM NO.1 COURT NO.4 SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.21, 24, 26, 30, 33, 37-39, 40, 45, 47, 50-52, 61,  
62, 64, 65 & 66 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 653 OF 1994

WASIM AHMED SAEED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(For directions and intervention, to take on record the joint report in compliance of order dated 5.8.2004, modification of Court's orders dated 5.8.2004 & 2.9.2004, permission, directions, impleadment and permission to fell trees and office report)

WITH

I.A. Nos.14, 15, 17 & 18 in CONTEMPT PETITION (C) No. 162/2000  
(For recalling Court's order dated 12.5.2000, restoration of order dated 16.3.1998 & 20.7.1998)

WITH

I.A. No.13, I.A. Nos.14-17 in I.A. No.13 in W.P.(C) No.239/1997  
(For impleadment and directions)

Date: 09/12/2010 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Altaf Ahmad, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)  
Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed, Adv.  
for M/S. Equity Lex Associates, Advs.

Mrs. Naghma Imtiaz, Adv.  
Mr. Kamran Malik, Adv.  
for M/S. Equity Lex Associates, Advs.

2

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv. (N.P.)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.  
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.  
for Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mrs. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Shailinder Saini, Adv.  
Ms. Rajni Ohri Lal, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For State of U.P. Mr. S.R. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.  
  
Mr. D.N. Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Sandip Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ujjwal Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Anupam Yadav, Adv.  
  
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Adv.  
For M/s. A.P.J. Chambers, Advs.  
  
Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinder Maheshwari, Adv.  
Mr. Pankaj Singh, Adv.  
  
Mr. Himanshu Tyagi, Adv.  
  
Mr. Aftab Ali Khan, Adv.  
  
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.  
  
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv. (N.P.)  
  
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv. (N.P.)  
  
Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, Adv.  
  
Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.  
  
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.  
  
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao, Adv.

3

Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv  
  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv. (N.P.)  
  
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.  
  
M/S. M.V. Kini & Associates, Advs. (N.P.)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. Altaf Ahmad, learned amicus submits that the construction work of boundary wall is in progress. He further submits that there are two entry gates of the monument, namely, Buland Darwaja and Hathi Darwaja. He has shown some photographs showing that the main road which leads to Hathi Darwaja needs clearing and improvement. Learned counsel for the State of U.P. and learned counsel appearing for other respondents would take necessary instructions and inform this Court as to within what period this road can be restored to proper use for entry to Hathi Darwaja.

Mr. Ahmad has also shown from the photographs that Hathi Darwaja itself needs repairing and restoration. Learned counsel appearing for the Archaeological Survey

of India submits that the work is in progress and this being on the backside of the monument would also be repaired. He submits that the work is in progress and Hathi Darwaja would also be restored to its original shape.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of U.P. may get instructions from the Secretary of Tourism, Government of U.P. and also from the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department of the State of U.P.

4

I.A. NO.65:

Liberty is granted to the applicant to approach the Hon. Chief Justice for placing this I.A. before the concerned Bench.

I.A. NO.61:

Learned counsel appearing for the Archaeological Survey of India submits that there are about 83 trees within the parking area of the Shopping-cum-Interpretation Centre constructed at Fatehpur Sikri, which needs to be removed in order to provide proper parking facility to the public. We are of the view that efforts must be made to save as many trees as possible. Therefore, we direct the learned counsel to get a report as to how many trees can be transplanted in the nearby area. Let the report be filed within four weeks.

List the matters after four weeks.

(A.S. BISHT)  
COURT MASTER

(NEERU BALA VIJ)  
COURT MASTER