

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 64/2010 in WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 653 OF 1994

WASIM AHMED SAEED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order and office report )

Date: 16/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Altaf Ahmad,Adv.  
Mr. Imtiaz Amed,Adv.(A.C.)

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vivek K.Tankha,Sr.Adv.  
For NHAI Mr. Prashant Chaudhary,Adv.  
Mr. Rishabh C.,Adv.  
Mr. Vaibhav Srivastava,Adv.

For State of U.P. Ms. Pareena Swarup,Adv.  
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma,Adv.  
Ms. Alka Sinha,Adv.  
  
Mr. SWA Qadri,Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Sharma,Adv.  
Ms. Sadhna Sandhu,Adv.  
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.  
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.  
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.  
Mr. D.S.Mahra,Adv.  
Mr. S.N.Terdol,Adv.  
Mr. S.S.Rawat,Adv.

Mr. M.P.Siddiqui,Adv.  
Mr. Shaikh Chand Saheb,Adv.  
Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha,Adv.

-2-

Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed,Adv.  
Mr. V.K. Verma,Adv.  
Mr. Annam D.N. Rao,Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das,Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.  
Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.  
M/S.Equity Lex Associates,Adv.  
M/S. Ap & J Chambers,Adv.  
M/S. M.V. Kini & Associates,Advs.  
Mr. Sunil Fernandes,Adv.  
Mr. Pradeep Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard counsel of either side.  
I.A. No. 64 is disposed of accordingly.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[RENUKA SADANA]  
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A. No. 64 of 2010

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 653 OF 1994

WASIM AHMED SAEED ..PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard counsel of either side.

This Court passed an order on 11/10/2011, directing the Secretary of the concerned Ministry and to the Secretary of the State of U.P. to sit together and find out a solution to the dispute between the parties. We are informed by the counsel appearing for the National Highway Authority of India that the matter has been settled and a reasonable solution has been reached. Reference was made to the I.A. No.64 of 2010.

Since the matter has been settled between the parties, no further orders are necessary. Consequently, undertaking filed by the National Highway Authority of India before this Court stands waived in the context of this decision reached between the parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of U.P. submits that she has no instructions but she is relying upon the statement made by the counsel appearing for National Highway Authority. This submission is noted.

I.A. No. 64 is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.  
(K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN)

.....J.  
(DIPAK MISRA)

New Delhi;  
July 16, 2012.