

n
ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.10

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 424 OF 2013

RENU SHAKYA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ARVIND KUSHWAHA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 15/07/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. R.K. Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.
Ms. Christi jain, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Jain, Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

| (VINOD LAKHINA)
| COURT MASTER

| | (INDU BALA KAPUR)
| | COURT MASTER

|
|

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO.424 OF 2013

RENU SHAKYA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

ARVIND KUSHWAHA

...RESPONDENT

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties at length.

In view of the averments made in the petition, we are of the opinion that this is a fit case in which the prayer made by the wife ought to be allowed. Consequently, HMA No.19A of 2012, titled as "Arvind vs. Renu", pending in the Court of IInd Additional District Judge (Fast Track) Javra, Aalot, District Ratlam, Madhya Pradesh, is transferred to the Family Court at Agra, Uttar Pradesh. It is made clear that the transfer of this petition has been ordered without looking into the merits of the allegations made by the wife.

In view of the submission made by Mr. Puneet Jain, learned counsel for the respondent-husband that the husband is a very poor man, let the matrimonial dispute be disposed of as expeditiously as possible.

...2/-

:2:

The transfer petition is disposed of accordingly.

.....,J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

NEW DELHI
JULY 15, 2013