

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 536 OF 2005

KITABO Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 23/02/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s) Mr. R. S. Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. K. S. Prasad, Adv.
Ms. Sampa Sengupta Ray, Adv.
Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Teotia, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed
order.

(Deepak Joshi)
Sr. P.A.

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 536 OF 2005

KITABOAppellant (s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent (s)

O R D E R

This appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment dated 13/11/2002 In CRLA. No. 523-SB/1988 of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

The facts of the case have been set out in the judgment of the High Court and hence we are not repeating the same here.

On the facts of the case, we are of the opinion that the appellant is entitled to benefit of doubt. Accordingly, the appeal is allowed. The impugned judgment of the High Court and Trial Court is set aside.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

.....J.
[GYAN SUDHA MISRA]

NEW DELHI;
FEBRUARY 23, 2011