

**SECTION III-A  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4688, 4689 AND 5209 of 2012**

**EIH LTD.**

**...APPELLANT**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF RAJASTHAN AND ORS.**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**OFFICE REPORT**

**C.A. Nos. 4688 and 4689 of 2012**

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/ Jul./ 2008 dated 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

- A) There are three respondents in both the matters above-mentioned. Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are served through High Court, but no one has entered appearance so far. Respondent No. 3 is represented through counsel.
- B) Not applicable.
- C) No application for substitution is pending.
- D) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for both the parties do not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.
- E) Not applicable.

**C.A. No. 5209 of 2012**

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/ Jul./ 2008 dated 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2008.

- A) There are three respondents in the matter above-mentioned. Respondent No. 1 is served through High Court, but no one has entered appearance so far. Respondent No. 2 & 3 are represented through counsel.
- B) Not applicable.

C) No application for substitution is pending.

D) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for both the parties do not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.

E) Not applicable.

The matter above-mentioned is listing before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF APRIL, 2015.

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

Copy to:

Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Advocate

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., Advocate

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**