

ITEM NO.42

COURT NO.2

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 1 OF 2012 in
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3401 & 3402 OF 2003

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B.D. KAUSHIK

Respondent(s)

(for directions and office report)
WITH Commt.Pet.(C)No.45/2012 in C.A.Nos.3401 & 3402 OF 2003

Date: 03/02/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Appellant(s)/
Applicant
(AOR Asscn.)

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M.Jadhav, AOR.
Mr. L.N. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Garvesh Kabra, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv.
Ms. A.Radha Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. M.S.Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Dahiya, Adv.
Mr. I.S. Bakshi, Adv.
Ms. Sangeeta Jain, Adv.

For SCBA EC

Mr. Ashok Desai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anil Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Aggarwal, AOR
Ms. Mridul Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. Sateesh Galla, Adv.
Mr. Parvin Kumar Mutreja, Adv.

C.A.3401&3402/03

Mr. Abhinav Singh, Adv.
For Mr. N.Raja Raman, AOR.

Mr. Ashok Arora, Ex-Secretary, SCBA.

For Respondent(s)
For GBM of SCBA

Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kr.Singh, Adv.
Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.
for Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR.

Mr. S.P. Singh, Sr. Adv.

non-AOR.

Mr. Naik H.K. Adv.

Supreme Court Adv.
Asscn.(non-AOR)

Mr. S.P. Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ravi Shankar Kumar, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Yugal Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Baldev Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Parmanand Pandey, AOR.

SCBA(Mumbai)

Mr. Pabitrakr Biswal, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Srivastav, Adv.

Mr. M.S. Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Dahiya, Adv.
Mr. K.G. Bhagat, Adv.
Ms. Sangeeta, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, AOR

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A.3401&3402/03

After hearing Mr. S.P. Singh, learned senior counsel, and the submissions which have been made by him, which are mainly factual, it would only be fair to the parties concerned, to be able to deal with the same once they are placed on record by way of an affidavit. The said affidavit can highlight the manner in which any attempt has been made by the Implementation Committee to deviate from the directions given in the judgment passed by this Court on 26th September, 2011, in the Civil Appeals.

2. Let this matter stand over till Friday week, (17th February, 2012) to enable such affidavit to be filed within a week from date; reply thereto, if any, may be filed within the next date.

3. The interim order, as passed, will continue in the meantime.

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER