

ITEM NO.302

COURT NO.2

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO.4 OF 2012 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.3401 OF 2003

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B.D. KAUSHIK

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions and office report)

WITH C.A. No.3402/2003

(With appln.(s) for directions and vacating stay and office report)

C.P. (C) No.45/2012 in C.A. No.3401/2003

Date: 24/04/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Appellant(s)/  
Applicant Mr. Ashok Desai, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Aggarwal, AOR  
Mrs. Mridul Aggarwal, Adv.

In CP 45/12 Mr. N. Rajaraman, AOR

For Respondent(s)  
For GBM of SCBA Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Ranjeet Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Pareena Swaroop, Adv.  
Mr. Yugal Kishor, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhakar Misra, Adv.  
Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

In CA 3402/03 Caveator-in-person

For SCBA (Non-AOR) Mr. S.P. Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. B.K. Choudhary, Adv.  
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.  
Mr. Brahmjit Mishra, Adv.

CA 3401/2003

2

Mr. Rakshit Bharti, Adv.  
Mr. Parmanand Pandey, AOR

For SCPLF Mr. Ravi Shankar Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. B.P. Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Rajesh, Adv.  
Mr. Baldeo Atrey, Adv.  
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, Adv.  
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, AOR

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, AOR

Mr. Ashok Arora, Adv.

Mr. Balraj S. Malik, Adv.

Mr. K.G. Bhagat, Adv.

Mr. M.S. Bakshi, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Dahiya, Adv.

Ms. Sangeeta Gaur, Adv.

Ms. Diviya, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel on I.A. No.4 of 2012.  
Let the matter be listed 'for judgment' on 7th May, 2012.

In the meantime, the notices dated 23rd March, 2012  
and 18th April, 2012, indicating that a General Body Meeting  
is to be held on 25th April, 2012, shall remain stayed.

I.A. No.....of 2012 in C.A. Nos.3401-3402 of 2003

On mentioning, let this interlocutory application be  
taken on board.

Having heard Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, learned Advocate  
appearing in person, we are of the view that the same is not  
maintainable under Order 9 Rule 13 C.P.C. We are not,  
therefore, inclined to entertain the interlocutory  
application and the same is dismissed.

CA 3401/2003

3

(Chetan Kumar) (Juginder Kaur)  
Court Master Assistant Registrar