

required to be made by this Court making it clear that the liability of the 7th respondent subsists for deposit of the rents which he is receiving from his sub-tenants.

Heard learned counsel for the Municipal authorities as well as the 7th respondent. Inasmuch as the rent due to the 7th respondent stood attached by virtue of the order of this Court dated July 15, 1997, the 7th respondent has no right to appropriate the same. He has to pay it only to the Municipality towards the discharge of liability of paying municipal taxes. However, this payment of rent would be limited to the liability which the 7th respondent would have to discharge towards the difference of taxes on account of sub-letting the premises.

With these observations, the I.A.s are disposed of.

.SP1

(N. Annapurna)
Court Master

(Kanwal Singh)
Court Master