

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16581-16582/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 29/07/2011 in D.B.S.A.W. NO. 1080 of 2011, SBCCP No.576/2011 in SBCWP No.3625/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN BENCH, JODHPUR BENCH AT JAIPUR)

RAJASTHAN TECHNICAL UNIV.& ANR.ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GAURAV AGARWAL & ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 10514 of 2012
(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 02/11/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Aishwarya Bhati,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
SLP 16581-16582 Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv. for
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,Adv.

SLP 10514 of 2012 Mr. S.C. Gupta, Adv. for
Ms. Pratibha Jain, Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP (C) Nos. 16581-16582 of 2012:

In the counter-affidavit filed by the respondent No. 1, it is stated that after the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated April 25, 2011 and of the Division Bench dated July 29, 2011, result of revaluation has been declared on August 20, 2011 wherein he has been declared passed.

This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Having regard to the above, we are satisfied that the diverse contentions raised in the Special Leave Petitions need not be examined by this Court under Article 136 of the Constitution.

Special Leave Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed. Question of law is kept open.

SLP (C) No. 10514 of 2012:

In the counter-affidavit filed by the respondent, it is stated as follows:

"That after compliance of the order under challenge i.e. dated 16.12.2011 passed by the Division Bench of the High court, the appellants have declared result of the second attempt of the back paper (supplementary) in question of IVth Semester for

which previously the respondent sought grace marks and awarded as such in compliance of the order of the concerned Division Bench of the High Court. The answering respondent had applied for revaluation prior to filing of the writ petition but the result of the same was not declared by the appellants for long and even after completion of the subsequent remaining 4 Semesters. The same has been declared after result of 8th Semester and compliance of the order of the Division Bench and issuance of the required marks sheets. As per result of the back exam, the respondent was awarded 83 marks out of 100 in the paper in question and the respondent stands pass even without award of grace marks.

This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Having regard to the above, we are satisfied that the diverse contentions raised in the Special Leave Petition need not be examined by this Court under Article 136 of the Constitution.

Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed. Question of law is kept open.

|(Pardeep Kumar)
|Court Master

|(Sanjay Kumar)
|Court Master

|