

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.12

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1077-1078/2011

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MEHAR SINGH @ MEHMA SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 122252/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. IA No. 122251/2018
- ISSUE OF BAILABLE WARRANT OF ARREST)

Date : 28-11-2019 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

For Appellant(s) Mr. Robin Singh Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, AOR (NP)

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(GULSHAN KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(R.S. NARAYANAN)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS.1077-1078 OF 2011

THE STATE OF PUNJAB

... Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MEHAR SINGH @ MEHMASINGH & ORS.

... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The High Court on evaluating the evidence, more particularly, the depositions of Balwinder Kaur (PW-4) and Tarsem Singh (PW-5), the so called eye witnesses, and on facts, concluded that the eye-witnesses are unreliable as their evidence not only contradicts *inter se* but also contradicts the medical evidence. On going through the material on record, we do not find any ground to interfere in the judgment of acquittal passed by the High Court inasmuch as the view taken by the High Court is one of the possible views.

Hence, no interference is called for. The appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.

....., J.
(Mohan M. Shantanagoudar)

....., J.
(R. Subhash Reddy)

New Delhi;
November 28, 2019.