

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.Nos.2, 27, 34, 38-40, 41, 43-45, 46-47 AND 51, 48-50, 58-60, 52, 95 AND
81, 99, 36, 54, 65, 75, 78, 79, 67 AND 76, 80, 83-84, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 37 WITH 91, 94, 96-9
7 & 102,
98, 100 AND 101 IN
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 337 OF 1995

CENTRE FOR ENVIR.LAW, WWF-I

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U O I & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For modification/amendment of the Court's order dt.22.8.97 and exemption from filing O.T. And direction/modification of order dt.13.11.2000 and recommendations of CEC in I.A. 67 and directions and restoration and direction/modification and for permission to lay pipeline under the Swarg Ashram Laxman Jhula Water Supply Scheme and direction/modifications and condonation of delay and impleadment and for permission to have the BML-HANSI Branch-Batana Branch multipurpose link channel through the 4.0 acres of land and for bringing on record addl.facts and directions and office report)

Date: 18/07/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
Mr.Raj Panjwani, Adv.

2

For Respondent(s) Mr.Vikas Singh, ASG
Ms.Kiran Bharadwaj, Adv.
Mr.Krishan Mahajan, Adv.
Mr.S.W.A.Qadri, Adv.
For Mr.D.S.Mahra, Adv.
Mrs.Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran ,Adv
Ms.Sushma Suri, Adv.

For applicant(s) in Mr.Vinod A.Bobde, Sr.Adv.

I.A.Nos.87,88,94

Mr.Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.
Mr.K.K.Lahiri, Adv.
Mr.Vikash Singh, Adv.
Ms.Taruna Singh, Adv

Ms.Anagha S.Desai, Adv.
Mr.R.K.Adsure, Adv.

3

Mr.A.D.N.Rao, Adv.(NP)

Mr.Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.
For Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta,AAG

Mr. A. Subba Rao ,Adv

Ms. A.Subhashini ,Adv

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava ,Adv

Mr. Ashok Mathur ,Adv

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy ,Adv

Mr. Ajit Pudussery ,Adv

Mr. Gopal Singh ,Adv
Mr.Anukul Raj, Adv.
Mr.Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. D.N. Goburdhan ,Adv

Mr. G. Prakash ,Adv

Ms. Hemantika Wahi ,Adv

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal ,Adv

Mr. M. Veerappa ,Adv

Mr. Pradeep Misra ,Adv

in I.A.Nos.34,38-40, Mr.M.N.Krishnamani, Sr.Av.
42,48-50 Mr.Sampath,Adv.
Mr.Krishan M., Adv.
Mrs Rani Chhabra ,Adv

4

Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh ,Adv

Mr.K.N.Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish ,Adv

Mr. R.S. Suri ,Adv

Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sharma ,Adv

Mrs Lalita Kaushik ,Adv

Mr.A.Mariarputham, Adv.

Mrs.Aruna Mathur, Adv.

For M/S Arputham,Aruna & Co. ,Adv

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu ,Adv

5

Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee ,Adv

Mr. V.G. Pragasam ,Adv

Mr.S.Joseph Aristotle, Adv.

Mr.S.Prabhu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Jana Kalyan Das ,Adv

Mr.Avijeet Bhujabal, Adv.

Mrs.Rachana Joshi Issar ,Adv

Mr. J.S. Attri ,AAG

Mr. Niraj Sharma ,Adv

Mr. Anil Shrivastav ,Adv

Mr.Ritu Raj, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde ,Adv

Mr.Amit Kr.Chawla, Adv.

Mr.Biswanath Aggrawalla, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mehta ,Adv

Mr.A.Henry, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad ,Adv

Mr. Vishwajit Singh ,Adv

Mr. Shankar Divate ,Adv

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy ,Adv

Ms. Rachana Srivastava ,AAG(NP)

6

Mr.Riku Sarma, Adv.
For M/S Corporate Law Group ,Adv

Ms. Kavita Wadia ,Adv

Ms.Suparna Srivastava, Adv.
Ms.Nidhi Minocha,Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava ,Adv

Ms. Anitha Shenoy ,Adv

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija ,Adv
Mr.Siddhartha Dave,Adv.

Mr.U.Hazarika, Adv.
Mr.Satya Mitra, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika ,Adv

7

Mr. Amit Kumar ,Adv

Mr.Manjit Singh, AAG
for Mr.T.V.George, Adv.

Mr.Manoj Saxena, Adv.(NP)
For Mr.T.V.George, Adv.

Mrs.Jayshree Anand, AAG
Mr.K.K.Mahalik, Adv.
Mr.Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr.Ameet Singh, Adv.
Mr.Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Mr.Tara Chand Sharma, Adv.(NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The National Board for Wildlife represented through its Member Secretary is made as a suo

motu respondent. The copies of the relevant papers may be served on the Member Secretary. As regards

the pending matters, the views of the National Board for Wildlife could be of immense importance. As

directed by this Court's orders dated 17.10.2006 and 11.1.2007, some of the States namely, Andhra

Pradesh, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Manipur, Nagaland and Uttaranchal have not filed their responses

so far. These States may file their response at the earliest.

I.A.No.94:

Learned senior counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the application with

8

liberty to file appropriate proceedings. Permission sought for is granted. The application is dismissed as

withdrawn.

I.A.No.102:

Application for impleadment is allowed.

I.A.No.96:

State of Uttaranchal is directed to file its response.

9

I.A.Nos.87 & 88:

The applications are now pending before the National Board for Wildlife. The applicants

would be at liberty to pursue the matter with the Board. The I.A.s are disposed of accordingly.

th

List the matter on 11 September, 2007.

The National Board for Wildlife to file its response in all the pending applications in the

meantime.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Veera Verma)
Court Master