

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4694/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 22/06/2011 in CRLA No.2158/2011 of The  
HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT AURANGABAD)

GOVINDSINGH FULCHAND RAJPUT & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report )

WITH SLP(Crl) Nos. 2021-2022 of 2012  
(With appln. for stay and office report)

Date: 11/12/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s)

SLP 4694 Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.  
Ms. Anindita Pujari, Adv. for  
M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co.

SLP 2021-2012 Mr. Vivek C. Solshe, Adv. for  
Mr. C.G. Solshe, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

SLP 4694 Mr. Vivek C. Solshe, Adv. for  
Mr. C.G. Solshe, Adv.

SLP 2021-2022 Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.  
Ms. Anindita Pujari, Adv. for  
M/s Lawyer's Knit & Col.

State Mr. Arun R. Pedneker, Adv. for  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP (Crl.) Nos. 2021-2022 of 2012:

Mr. Vivek. C. Solshe, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, who were the petitioners in Criminal Writ Petition No. 807 of 2011 before the High Court seeking quashment of the F.I.R. bearing Crime No. I-34/2011,, registered on April 7, 2011 at P.S. Sillod City did not disclose in the Writ Petition filed by them before the High Court about the pendency of S.L.P. (Crl.) No. 4694 of 2011, filed by them against the order of the High Court rejecting their application for anticipatory bail and the order passed by this Court on June 30, 2011 that the present respondent Nos. 1 and 2 (petitioners in the S.L.P. (Crl.) Nos. 4694 of 2011) shall not be arrested until further orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the suppression of the above facts, the respondent Nos 1 and 2 were

not entitled to grant of any interim relief by the High Court.

Ms. Bina Madhavan, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 prays for time for filing an additional affidavit explaining the position, since in the counter-affidavit, no explanation has been furnished.

List the matter along with S.L.P. (Crl.) No. 4694 of 2011 after four weeks.

|(Pardeep Kumar)  
|Court Master

| |(Renu Diwan)  
| |Court Master

|