

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M K H A N J U R A

Civil Appeal No(s). 5445-5446/2012

PREM SINGH

Appellant(s)

V E R S U S

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

W I T H

C.A. No. 5443-5444/2012

C.A. No. 5450-5454/2012

C.A.No.5926-5945/2013

(With Office Report)

Date : 24/02/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad,Adv.  
Mr.Aditya Gupta,adv.  
Mr. Vinay Garg,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rajesh Mahale,Adv.  
  
Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.  
Mr.Birender Bikram,adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g  
O R D E R

Civil Appeal No(s).5445-5446/2012

The office report is that the Id.counsel for the respondents has filed the statement of case. The office report further is that the Id.counsel for the appellant has failed to file the statement

of case, although he has been notified to do so by notice dated 23.05.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Civil Appeal No.5443-5444/2012

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by notice dated 23.05.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

Civil Appeal No.5450-5454/2012

The office report indicates that the Ld.counsel for the appellants has already filed the statement of case. The office

report further is that the Id.counsel for the respondents has failed to file the statement of case although he has been notified to do so by notice dated 23.05.2013 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

**SB**