JITEM NO.27 COURT NO.5 SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2012
CC 4904-4905/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 24/08/2011 in AN No.369/2011 & AN No.2249/2011 in OSA No.2116/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH REP. BY D.C. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M. LINGAMAIAH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 26/03/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gopal Subramanium, Sr.Adv.
Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr.Adv.
Mr. C.K. Kannan, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Shankar, Adv.
Mr. G.N.Reddy,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr.Adv.
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.
Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.
Mr. H. Venugopal, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. for
M/S. Lawyer'S Knit & Co,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

We have heard learned senior counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material.

..2/-

:2:

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order of the High Court. However, in view of the observations made by the High Court specifically at pages 38 and 39 of the paper books granting permission to the State to initiate separate proceedings, we reiterate that if the State has still grievance, they are free to initiate separate proceedings before the appropriate forum in accordance with law. It is further made clear that if any such separate proceedings are initiated, the Court concerned is free to decide it on its own merits without being influenced by any observations made in the impugned judgment of the High Court.

With the above clarifications, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master