.
ITEM NO.13 COURT NO.4 SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 63-64 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14265-14326/2005

COMNR-CUM SEC.GOVT SC.& MASS ED.DEPT&ANR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUBARNA DIBYA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution of LRs of the deceased respondent and office report)

Date: 07/01/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA
(In Chambers)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Radha Shyam Jena,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv.
Mr. Srijan Lama, Adv.
Mr. Atishi Dipankar, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.
Mrs. K. Sarada Devi, Adv.
Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Promila, Adv.
Mr. Suresh Chandra Tripathy, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

As per Office Report dated 26.09.2012, notice of motion in respect of the proposed LRs has been served. In spite of service of notice, the LRs have chosen not to enter appearance.

The petitioners cannot be made to suffer on that count. The application for substitution of LRs of the deceased sole respondent in SLP (C) 14279 of 2005 is, therefore, allowed. Delay in filing the application for substitution is also condoned.

The LRs may, thereafter, enter appearance if they wish to contest the matter. In the event of non-appearance, it may be ignored and the matter can proceed further.

In so far as the subsequent part of the Office Report is concerned regarding the petitioner having not taken steps to bring on record the LRs of deceased sole respondent in SLP(C)14295 of 2005, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought four weeks' time to do the needful, which is granted.

|(Jayant Kumar Arora) | |(S.S.R.Krishna) |
|Sr. P.A. | |Court Master |