

S UP R E M E CO U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CR I M I N A L AP P E A L NO.1202 OF 2003

STAT E OF H I M A C H A L P R A D E S H

Appellant (s)

V E R S U S

NA R E S H K U M A R @ K A K A & O R S .

Respondent(s)

Date: 22/04 / 2 009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

COR A M :

HON' B L E Dr. JUST IC E AR I J I T PAS A Y A T  
HON' B L E M R. JUST I C E ASO K KU M A R GANGUL Y

For Appellant(s) Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sidhartha Luthra, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.  
Mr. Mohamad Feroz, Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Satija, Adv. for  
M / s. Lawyer's Knit & Co., Advs.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed reportable judgment.

(Subhash Chander)  
A.R.- cum-P.S.

(Shashi Bala Vij)  
Court Master

[Signed reportable judgment is placed on the file]

REPO RT A B L E

I N T H E S U P R E M E CO U R T O F I N D I A

CR I M I N A L AP P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

CR I M I N A L AP P E A L NO.1202 OF 2003

State of Himachal Pradesh

.....Appellant

Versus

Naresh Kumar @ Ka ka & Ors.

.....Respondents

J U D G M E N T

Dr. AR I J I T PAS A Y A T,J.

Challenge in this appeal is to the judgment of a Division Bench of  
the Himachal Pradesh High Court directing acquittal of the respondents

who faced trial of alleged commission of offences punishable under Section 302 read with Section 34 and 323 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'the I PC').

Learned Sessions Judge, Hamirpur had found the present respondent-accused persons guilty and had convicted each one of them and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.2000 /- with default stipulation. Learned Sessions Judge, however, acquitted the co-accused Sunil Kumar.

We need not deal with the factual aspects in detail because we find that the High Court has not analysed the evidence in detail. It has come to certain abrupt conclusions about the prosecution version being not credible. Since the High Court was upsetting a judgment of the Trial Court which has been rendered after analysing the evidence, the casual manner in which the appeal was disposed of allowing the appeal filed by the present CrI.A.No.1202 / 03 .... (contd.)

-2-

respondent-accused persons was certainly not the proper course to be adopted.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on merit, we set aside the impugned judgment and remit the matter to the High Court for fresh consideration.

Since the matter is pending since long, we request the High Court to explore the possibility of disposing of the appeal as early as practicable and preferably by the end of September 2009.

After the acquittal when this Court granted leave, the respondents were not in custody and bailable warrants were issued. They will continue to be so till the disposal of the matter afresh by the High Court.

The appeal is allowed accordingly.

Sd/-

.....J.  
[Dr. AR I J I T PAS A Y A T]

Sd/-

.....J.  
[ASO K KU M A R GANGUL Y]

New Delhi.  
April 22, 2009.