

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3568 OF 2006

S. MURALI & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for modification/clarification and extension of time and filing copy of minutes of meeting and with office report )

Date: 25/09/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Appellant(s) Mr. Nidesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Sunanda Raha, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG  
Mr. T.S. Doabia, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv. for  
Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Civil Appeal is dismissed in terms of signed orde with no order as to costs.

|(Pardeep Kumar)  
|Court Master

|(S.S.R. Krishna)  
|Court Master

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3568 OF 2006

| S. MURALI AND OTHERS

|...| APPELLANT(S) |

| Versus |

| UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

|...| RESPONDENT(S) |

O R D E R

We have heard Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, learned senior counsel for the appellants.

The High Court, in the impugned order, has held that there is a difference in educational qualifications and experience amongst other things in the respective units of Doordarshan and Film Division. The difference pointed out by the High Court is apparent from the Recruitment Rules.

In view of the above, we are satisfied that the impugned order does not call for any interference.

Civil Appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

.....J.  
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.  
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI  
SEPTEMBER 25, 2012.