

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 6419/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 27-11-2025 in WA No. 611/2025 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amravati]

KATASANI RAMBHUPAL REDDY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 47609/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 47608/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 24-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Sivani Kms, Adv.
Mr. Poornachandiran R, Adv.
Mr. Vairawan A.s, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. The Petitioner approached the High Court, seeking to be provided with security cover. After evaluating the threat perception to the Petitioner, for which a report from specialized agencies was obtained, the High Court declined to entertain the petitioner's claim. There is a State-Level Security Review Committee, which assesses threat perceptions on the basis of input received from the specialized agencies. It is true that the petitioner is a member of one of the political parties in opposition in his State, but that per se may not be sufficient

ground to presume that his life is under constant threat.

2. At the same time, it would be folly to adopt a static approach when dealing with the issue of danger to one's life and liberty. The situation, which depends upon a multitude of circumstances, may vary continuously. As such, occasions could arise where the level of threat perception to the petitioner might warrant the provision of adequate protection on behalf of the law and order machinery, but at his own expense. However, we find that these are not issues that necessitate judicial adjudication. Their determination will have to be left to the law enforcement agencies, who are obligated to ensure the safety of every citizen.

3. We have no reason to doubt that the concerned authority will reassess the threat perception to the petitioner, if any, after periodical breaks and will take an appropriate decision as may be required, depending upon the outcome of such assessment.

4. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of, with pending application(s), if any.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR