

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.No. 22,27,31 & 32 in

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3769 OF 1996

BUFFALO TRADERS WELFARE ASSON.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

U O I & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For intervention and directions and office report)

Date: 18/07/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

Mr.G.E. Vahanvati,Solicitor General

For Applicant(s)/

Mr. Devadatt Kamat,Adv.

Appellant(s)/

Mrs.Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Respondent(s)

Mr. K.C.Dua, Adv.

Delhi Administration

Mrs.Rekha Pandey, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

I.A. No.31

Mr.M.Tahir Siddiqui,Adv.

Mr.Shakeel Ahmed Saifi,Adv.

Mr. N.K. Prajapati, Adv.

Ministry of Defence

Mr. T.S.Doabia, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.

Mr.Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.(NP)

Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.(NP)

Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.

Ms.Chitra Markandaya, Adv.

.....2/-

-2-

Mr.Vishnu B.Saharya, Adv. for

M/s. Saharya & Co.

Mr.S.A. Syed, Adv.

Mr. M.C.Mehta, Adv.(NP)

Central Pollution Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

Control Board

Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.(NP)

Mr. K.R.Rajasekaran Pillai, Adv.(NP)

Mr.Shrish Kumar Mishra, Adv.(NP)

Mr. Ashok K.Srivastava, Adv.(NP)

M.C.D. Mr.Sanjiv Sen, Adv.

Mr. Rajan Narain, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr.S.W. A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Kamendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr.Rajeev Kumar Dubey, Adv.

Ms.Rashmi Singh, Adv.

National Capital Region Mrs.Sheil Mohini Sethi, Adv.

Planning Board

Mr.Satish K.Agnihotri, adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Alex, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.(NP)

Mr.Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.(NP)

Mr.Ashok K. Mahajan, Adv.(NP)

Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, adv.(NP)

Mrs. Rekha Palli, Adv.

...3/-

-3-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A. No. 31

Nos. 26,29 On the previous date of hearing i.e. on 8.4.2005 in I.A.
and 30 in C.A.No.

3769/1996 a bench of this Court passed the following order:

" The applicant in these applications is directed to file a proper representation to the MCD

and if no action is taken by the MCD, liberty is granted to the applicant to approach this Court."

As per this order the applicants were required to file representations with the MCD and the

MCD was supposed to have decided the said representations. The present I.A. No.31 has been filed by

Gulzar Ahmed stating therein that in spite of the directions issued by this Court and the

representation filed by him, the illegal salting and slaughtering in the unlicensed premises have not

been stopped. The applicant cited the instance of two unlicensed premises Nos. T-250 and 6329

Quresh Nagar, Sadar Bazar, Delhi- 110006.

Counsel appearing for the MCD states that no representation has been received in their

office. The applicant in the present case has attached a copy of the representation which has been filed

by him with the MCD. We direct counsel for the MCD to take notice of I.A.No.31 and adjourn this

matter by four weeks. In the meantime MCD should decide the representation of Gulzar Ahmed, which

has been attached with I.A.No.31, in accordance with law and file an affidavit to that effect along with

the order passed.

I.A.No.32

Application is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the applicant is at liberty to move an

appropriate application in the appropriate matter.

I.As. are adjourned by four weeks.

(Meenu Sethi)

(Kanwal Singh)

Court Master

Court Master