

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.7

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA Nos.22, 27 & 31 in

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3769 OF 1996

BUFFALO TRADERS WELFARE ASSON.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For intervention/modification & impleadment and office report)

Date: 18/08/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)/MCD : Mr. Harish N Salve & Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Advs.

With M/s. Sanjiv Sen & Rajan Narain, Advs.

RR/UOI

: Mr. TS Doabia, Sr. Adv. With M/s. Manpreet S Doabia
& Anil Katiyar, Advs.

For Delhi Admn.

: Mrs. Rekha Pandey & Ms. Anil Katiyar, Advs.

State of Haryana

: M/s. Manjeet Singh, Vivekta Singh, Hariksh Singh,
Harikishan Kataria, Sanjay Rathee & TV George, Advs.

RR/State of U P

: M/s. S.W.A Qadari, Kamendra Mishra, Rajeev Kumar
Dubey & Ms. Rashmi Singh, Advs.

For Min. of Urban Dev.: Mr. Mohan Parasaran, A.S.G.

: Mr. Devadatt Kamat, Adv.

: Mr. K C Dua, Adv.

: Mr. Sushil Kr. Jain, Adv.

: Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

: Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.

: Ms. Chitra Markandaya, Adv.

: M/s. Saharya & Co.

: Mr. S A Syed, Adv.

: Mr. M C Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

: Mr. Goodwill Indeevar, Adv.

2

: Mr. K R Rajasekaran Pillai, Adv.

: Mr. Shrish Kr. Mishra, Adv.

: Mr. Ashok K Srivastava, Adv.

: Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.

: Mrs. Sheil Mohini Sethi, Adv.

: M/s. P H Parekh, Rohit Alex & Rajeev Mishra, Adv.

: Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

: Mr. Ashok Kr. Sharma, Adv.

: Mr. Ashok K Mahajan, Adv.

: Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

: Mr. Mohd. Tahir Siddiqui, Adv.

: Mrs. Lalita Kaushik, Adv.

: Mrs. Rekha Palli, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Municipal Corporation of Delhi has filed the Status Report dated 17.8.2005 which is taken on record. As per the Status Report, the Union of India has sanctioned Rs.110 crores for the project at present. On 14.7.2004, this Court after considering the fact of non-redressal of issue regarding providing a modern slaughter-house maintaining clean and hygienic standards and catering to the entire population of Delhi, held that the only solution to this complex problem was to shift the abattoir to Ghazipur. The Central Government was directed to provide necessary funds, estimated at Rs.90 crores, at that time. The Government of India has sanctioned Rs.110 crores for the project at present. The Municipal Corporation was made the implementing agency to carry out the construction of the modern slaughter-house and all authorities concerned were directed to give all the assistance for the aforementioned purpose. As per this report, the plant and machinery, electrical items, effluent treatment plant and civil works are in progress. The same are at an advanced

stage of progress. Orders have been placed on the foreign suppliers who have started

the manufacturing of the plant and machinery as per the orders placed on them. A

part of the payment has already been released to them. As per the Status Report,

3

machinery has already been received from Denmark and is now lying at the I.C.D.,

Tughlakabad, New Delhi, and is awaiting release. According to the report, the project can be completed as per the time-frame fixed by this Court.

Unfortunately, the work on the construction of the slaughter-house complex at Ghazipur has come to a standstill since 8.8.2005 as the relevant files and documents

had been sent for by the Mayor of Delhi. A seven-member Committee of the Municipal Corporation, headed by the Mayor, has been constituted to examine the various

aspects of the project implementation. No progress has taken place after 8.8.2005.

Keeping the overall circumstances in view, the Mayor is directed to release the files,

within a period of 2 days, which have been summoned by him, after keeping photostat

copies of the documents so that the progress of the work is not hampered. The

Municipal Commissioner would be at liberty to get the modern plant and machinery released, which has already arrived at the I.C.D., Tughlakabad from Denmark. The

Municipal Commissioner is also directed not to stop the work and continue to carry on the work on the project so that the project can be completed within the time-schedule.

In view of the reply filed by the Municipal Corporation, I.A
No.31 is

dismissed.

IA No.27 : Dismissed.

IA No.22 : To be listed on 25.8.2005.

[R K Rakheja]

AR-cum-PS

[Kanwal Singh]

Court Master

NB: Copy of the order be released today.

4

[Signed order is placed on the file.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4580-4582 OF 2005

COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE, T.N.
Appellant

Vs.

TAMILNADU ASBESTOS ETC.ETC.
Respondents

O R D E R

The civil appeals are dismissed.

.....J.

(B.P. S

INGH)

.J.

.....

(S.H. KA

PADIA)

New Delhi,

12
th

August, 2005.