

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 4-9 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6569 OF 2005

ADHUNIK STEELS LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ORISSA MANGANESE AND MINERALS PVT. LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention and clarification/modification of order dt.10.07.2007 permission to file addl. documents and directions permission to file appln. for recalling the order dt.10.07.2007 and office report)

WITH I.A.Nos.2-7 in C.A.No.6570/2005

(With appln(s) for intervention and clarification/modification of order dt.10.07.2007 permission to file addl. documents and directions permission to file appln. for recalling the order dt.10.07.2007 and office report)

Date: 16/02/2009 These Applns. were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM

For Appellant(s) Mr. R.F. Nariman, Sr. Adv.
CA 6570/05 Mr. Satya Mitra Garg, Adv.

CA 6569/05 Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.
Mr. Devendra Singh, Adv.

For Applicant(s)/
Respondent(s) Mr. Arun Jaitley, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Suruchii Aggarwal, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Anand, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra Garg, Adv.

Mr. Devendra Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

It is alleged that in the judgment of this Court reported in 2007 (7) SCC 125 - Adhunik Steels Ltd. vs. Orissa Manganese and Minerals (P) Ltd. in para 27 it is stated, "that one of

and lifting of the ore with any other individual or concern making it clear that it can, on its own, carry on the mining operations in terms of the mining lease." The applicant alleges that they have subsisting rights against OMM Pvt. Ltd. and this statement will prejudicially affect their rights. The applicants are not parties to the judgment passed by this Court so naturally it will not affect their rights. They will be at liberty to independently take appropriate proceedings to enforce their rights.

I.A.Nos.4 and 5 and all other I.As. are disposed of accordingly.

(R.K. Dhawan)
Court Master

(Veera Verma)
Court Master