

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).7086-7087/2005
(From the judgement and order dated 21/03/2005 in LPA No. 535/2004 & LPA
No. 1003/2004 of The HIGH COURT OF PATNA)

DURGA ORGANISATION & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF BIHAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and exemption
from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief)

WITH

S.L.P.(C) NOS. 7334-7335 of 2005

(Jay Shankar Construction & Anr. vs. State of Bihar & Ors.)

(with appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment
and exemption from filing O.T. and with prayer for interim relief and
office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 5046 of 2005 (Sanjay Ku. Singh & Ors.v. State of Bihar
& Ors.)

(With appln(s) for permission to file SLP)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

S.L.P. (C) ...CC No. 5068-5070 (M/s Devi Constructions v. State of Bihar
& Ors.)

(with appln (s) for permission to file SLP and with prayer for interim
relief and office report)

Date: 21/04/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ranji Thomas, Adv.

Mrs. Bharthi Upadhyaya, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Jai Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.

Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.

Mr. R.S. Dvivedi, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Praveen Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.

...2/-

:2:

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Adv.

MR. Shiv Pujan Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ravi Kini, Adv.

Mr. V.B. Joshi, Adv.

Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sandip Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.

In S.L.P.(C)7086-7087/2005

Mr. R.S. Dvivedi, Adv.

(For Interveners)

Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Permission to file special leave petition is granted

in SLP (C)/2005 [CC 5046] and SLP (C)/2005 [CC
5068-5070].

It is stated at the Bar that after the order of the

High Court fresh auction has been held. It is submitted by the

learned counsel for the petitioner-M/s Devi Constructions that

the petitioner made an offer much higher than the one made by

the highest bidder in the re-auction held pursuant to the order

of the State Government, which was set aside by the learned

Single Judge but restored by the Division Bench of the High

Court. If the bid received at the fresh auction is not a

reasonable bid, the parties are at liberty to make a

representation to the State Government and pursue such other

remedy as may be available to them under the law on which we do

not make any observation.

The special leave petitions are dismissed

(RAJESH DHAM)

COURT MASTER

(RADHA R. BHATIA)

COURT MASTER