

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NOS. 33808, 33809, 33811, 33813, 33816, 33824, 33832, 33836, AND 33846 OF 2013

State of U.P. & Ors.

.....Petitioners

-Versus-

M/s. Yamaha Motors Escorets Ltd. Etc.

.....Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT**

The office report was listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 24th April, 2014, in the said matters and other connected matters, when the following order was passed in the above said matters:-

"SLP (C) NO.33808, 33809, 33811, 33813, 33816, 33824 and 33836 OF 2013

"In SLP (C)No.33824/2013, sole respondent is granted six weeks' time, as final chance, for filing counter affidavit.

In the remaining matters, sole respondent has failed to file counter affidavit, despite final chance granted on the last date.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is granted six weeks' time, as final chance, for moving the application for amendment of the cause title in SLP (C) Nos.33808, 33811 and 3313/2013.

SLP (C) No.33832/2013

On filing of the process fee and spare copies within two weeks, notice be issued forthwith to respondent No. 1 through the concerned District Court besides usual mode. Steps be taken within three weeks.

SLP (C)No.33846/2013

The learned Advocate, Mr. R. Chandrachud has neither filed vakalatnama or filed the proof of serving the copy of the counter affidavit on the other side. Needful be done by the next date."

SLP (C) NO.33808, 33809, 33811, 33813, 33816, 33824 and 33836 OF 2013:

It is submitted that counsel for the sole respondent has not filed counter affidavit in SLP (C) No. 33824 of 2013 so far.

It is further submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not filed application for amendment of the cause title in SLP (C) Nos. 33808, 33811 and 33813 of 2013 so far.

**SLP (C) No. 33832/2013:**

It is submitted that counsel for the petitioner has not filed process fee and spare copies of SLP. Hence show cause notice could not be issued to respondent No. 1 through the concerned District Court besides usual mode.

It is further submitted that no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 though served with notice.

**SLP (C) No. 33846/2013**

It is submitted that Mr. R. Chandrachud, Advocate has neither filed vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of the sole respondent nor filed proof of serving the copy of counter affidavit to counsel for the petitioner so far.

The office report on default is listed before Hon'ble Judge in chambers.

Dated this the 7th day of August, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Mr. R.P. Mehrotra, Advocate  
100, Lawyers Chamber
2. Ms. Shweta Garg, Advocate
3. Mr. Pawan Shree Agrawal, Advocate  
B-9, Sagar Apartment 6, Tilak Marg
4. Mr. Subramaniam Prasad, Advocate
5. Mr. D.K. Garg, Advocate
6. Ms. Sunita Sharma, Advocate
7. Mr. E.C. Agarwala, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR