

(
CONTEMPT P.(Civil) 138 OF 2003

Item No.51

Court No.5

SECTION XI
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Contempt Petition (C) No.138/2003 in SLP(Civil)No.16418/2000

PRABHU DAYAL BHARGAVA (D) BY LRS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

PREM PRAKASH & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for substitution of deceased petitioner and exemption
from filing O.T.)

Date : 22/09/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s)Mr.R.K.Jain,Sr.Adv.
Mr.Wahid Husain,Adv.
Ms.Suruchi Aggarwal,Adv.
Ms.Ritka Pal,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr.R.P.Mehrotra,Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Chaudhary,Adv.

Mr.Pramod Swarup,Adv.
Mr.Parveen Swarup,Adv.
Mr.Prashant Chaudhary,Adv.
Mr.Bharat Ram,Adv.

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

The contempt proceeding is closed in terms of the signed order.

(Vijay Aggarwal)
COURT MASTER AR-cum-PS

(N.K.Gandhi)

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NO.138 OF 2003

Prabhu Dayal Bhargava (D) by Lrs. ... Petitioner (s)

versus

Prem Prakash & Anr. ...Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Substitution allowed.

Heard learned counsel appearing on either side.

In the light of the affidavit filed by the erstwhile Superintendent of Police in this Court we consider that no further action is called for in the matter. It is hoped that there would be no scope for giving any further trouble to the petitioner. As and when the petitioner is faced with any problem of like nature we leave him with a liberty to approach this Court. Accordingly, the contempt proceeding is closed.

.....J.[DORAISWAMY RAJU]

.....J.
[ARIJIT PASAYAT]

New Delhi,
September 22, 2003.