

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3958 OF 2001

E.I.L., INDIRAPURAM ALLTS.WELFAR.ASSON.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GHAZIABAD DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.)

With Civil Appeal No.7176 of 2005

(With appln.(s) for directions and office report)

Date: 22/01/2009 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

For Appellant(s) Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv. (N/P)

In CA 3958/2001:

In CA 7176/2005:

Ms. Reena Singh, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Reena Singh, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

for Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, Adv.

Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.

Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv.

for M/s. Temple Law Firm, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Civil Appeal No.3958 of 2001:

Nobody has appeared on behalf of the appellant, in
spite of repeated calls.

The appeal is disposed of.

...2/-

-2-

Civil Appeal No.7176 of 2005:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the
impugned order.

The civil appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

No costs.

[Alka Dudeja]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3958 OF 2001

E.I.L., Indirapuram Allts. Welfare Asson. ...Appellant(s)

Versus

Ghaziabad Development Authority & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

With Civil Appeal No.7176 of 2005

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No.3958 of 2001:

Nobody has appeared on behalf of the appellant, in spite of repeated calls.

Ms. Reena Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the responded-Ghaziabad Development Authority stated that, as the parties have already settled their disputes outside the Court, this appeal has become infructuous. In view of this statement at that Bar, the appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

Civil Appeal No. 7176 of 2005:

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.

The civil appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

No costs.

.....J.
[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.
[G.S. SINGHVI]

New Delhi,
January 22, 2009.