

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRL) NO. _____ OF 2026
(@ Diary No(s). 7198/2026)

IN

SLP (CRL) NO. 4022 OF 2023

PALANICHAMY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. There is an enormous delay of 682 days in filing the Review Petition. The application for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition does not give sufficient cause.
3. Even otherwise, having perused the Review Petition, we find that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established.
4. In view of the above, the Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....J.
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

.....J.
(PANKAJ MITHAL)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 05, 2026.

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s). 7198/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-02-2024
in SLP(Crl) No. No. 4022/2023 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

PALANICHAMY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

Respondent(s)

IA No. 38214/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 38217/2026 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 05-05-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAPIL TANDON)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSTT. REGISTRAR(NSH)

(Signed Order is placed on the file)