

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s).....  
[D 7187 OF 2012]

IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3401 & 3402 OF 2003

RAVI SHANKAR KUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)/  
Applicant(s)

VERSUS

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s).....  
[D 7582 OF 2012]

IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3401 & 3402 OF 2003

ARUN KUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)/  
Applicant(s)

VERSUS

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Interlocutory Applications for oral hearing are rejected.

These Review Petitions have been filed by the petitioners-applicants with applications for permission to file the Review Petitions contending therein that this Court vide judgment dated September 26, 2011 (review of which is sought) issued far reaching directions with serious consequences including affecting the fundamental and statutory rights of the members of the Supreme Court Bar Association (SCBA) generally and the review petitioners particularly and that neither the petitioners-applicants were party thereto nor the Appeals were filed in the representative capacity.

Having gone through the available material on record and judgment under review, we are not inclined to grant permission to the petitioners-applicants to file Review Petitions.

Accordingly, Interlocutory Applications Nos. 1-102 & 1-84 of 2013 for permission to file Review Petitions are rejected.

Consequent upon rejection of interlocutory applications for permission to file Review Petitions, no order needs to be passed on the applications for exemption from filing certified copy of the judgment. As a result of rejection of the applications for permission to file Review Petitions, the applications for condonation of delay

and stay and the Review Petitions stand dismissed.

.....J.  
( R.M. LODHA )

NEW DELHI; .....J.  
JANUARY 15, 2013 ( H.L. GOKHALE )

Chamber Matter

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s).....  
[D 7187 OF 2012]

IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3401 & 3402 OF 2003

RAVI SHANKAR KUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)/  
Applicant(s)

VERSUS

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file review petition(s) and  
office report)

WITH

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s).....  
[D 7582 OF 2012]

IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3401 & 3402 OF 2003

ARUN KUMAR & ORS.

Petitioner(s)/  
Applicant(s)

VERSUS

SUPREME COURT BAR ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file review petition(s))

Date: 15/01/2013 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Interlocutory Applications for oral hearing and for permission to file Review Petitions are rejected in terms of the signed order.

Consequent upon rejection of interlocutory applications for permission to file Review Petitions, no order needs to be passed on the applications for exemption from filing certified copy of the judgment and, as a result of rejection of the applications for permission to file Review Petitions, the applications for condonation of delay and stay and the Review Petitions stand dismissed in terms of the signed order.

| (Rajesh Dham)  
| Court Master

| | (Renu Diwan)  
| | Court Master

| |

(signed order is placed on the file)